#### Disclaimer

By continuing, you explicitly agree to the Terms of Use. Use of NINGI Research LLC's research is at your own risk. In no event should NINGI Research LLC or any NINGI Research LLC Related Persons (as defined hereunder) be liable for any direct or indirect trading losses caused by any information in this report. You further agree to do your own research and due diligence, consult your own financial, legal, and tax advisors before making any investment decision with respect to transacting in any securities of an issuer covered herein (a 'Covered Issuer'). As of the publication date of a NINGI Research LLC's report, NINGI Research LLC Related Persons (along with or through its members, partners, affiliates, employees, and/or consultants), clients, and investors, and/or their clients and investors have a short position in the securities of a Covered Issuer (and options, swaps, and other derivatives related to these securities), and therefore will realize significant gains in the event that the prices of a Covered Issuer's securities decline. NINGI Research LLC and NINGI Research LLC Related Persons are likely to continue to transact in Covered Issuers' securities for an indefinite period after an initial report on a Covered Issuer, and such position(s) may be long, short, or neutral at any time hereafter regardless of their initial position(s) and views as stated in the NINGI Research's research.

Any document, information, data, analysis, and statement in this report is expressed for educational purposes only and is expressed as an opinion, not a statement of fact. Any statements are expressed as our personal opinions in a public forum, and our opinions consolidate the information of our own analysis. This report or any information contained herein should not be considered an offer to sell or a solicitation of an offer to buy any security or investment product, nor do we intend to make any such an offer, nor shall any security be offered or sold to any person, in any jurisdiction in which such offer would be unlawful under the securities laws of such jurisdiction, nor as investment advice or a recommendation to buy or sell any investment products or to make any type of investment, or as an opinion on the merits or otherwise of any particular investment or investment strategy. Please seek the advice of a registered security professional regarding any transaction or investment decision in your jurisdiction. We are not a licensed or registered investment advisor in any jurisdiction. To the best of NINGI Research's ability and belief, all information contained herein is accurate and reliable, and has been obtained from public sources we believe to be accurate and reliable, and who are not insiders or connected persons of the securities of a Covered Issuer or who may otherwise owe any fiduciary duty or duty of confidentiality to the Covered Issuer. However, such information is presented 'as is,' without warranty of any kind – whether express or implied. NINGI Research LLC makes no representation, express or implied, as to the accuracy, timeliness, or completeness of any such information or with regard to the results to be obtained from its use. The information herein may contain forward-looking statements, estimates, projections, and opinions with respect to among other things, certain accounting, legal, and regulatory issues the issuer faces and the potential impact of those issues on its future business, financial condition, and results of operations, as well as more generally, the issuer's anticipated operating performance, access to capital markets, market conditions, assets, and liabilities. Such statements, estimates, projections, and opinions may prove to be substantially inaccurate and are inherently subject to significant risks and uncertainties beyond NINGI Research LLC's control. All expressions of opinion are subject to change without notice, and NINGI Research LLC does not undertake to update or supplement this report or any of the information contained herein. You agree that the information herein is copyrighted, and you, therefore, agree not to distribute this information (whether the downloaded file, copies/images/reproductions, or the link to these files) in any manner other than by providing the following link: ningiresearch.com

The failure of NINGI Research LLC to exercise or enforce any right or provision of these Terms of Use shall not constitute a waiver of this right or provision. If any provision of these Terms of Use is found by a court of competent jurisdiction to be invalid, the parties nevertheless agree that the court should endeavor to give effect to the parties' intentions as reflected in the provision and rule that the other provisions of these Terms of Use remain in full force and effect, in particular as to this governing law and jurisdiction provision. You agree that regardless of any statute or law to the contrary, any claim or cause of action arising out of or related to the use of our website or the material herein must be filed within one (1) year after such claim or cause of action arose or be forever barred.

NINGI Research LLC Related Person is defined as: NINGI Research LLC and its affiliates and related parties, including, but not limited to, any principals, officers, directors, employees, members, clients, investors, consultants, and agents. One or more NINGI Research LLC Related Persons have provided NINGI Research with publicly available information that NINGI Research LLC has included in this report, following NINGI Research LLC's independent due diligence.

### Oseriöst gymnasiearbete by Svenska Cellulosa

SCA's CEO Ulf Larsson's first reaction to our report was to call it "non-serious high school work." However, it took Svenska Cellulosa almost two weeks to publish a "clarification" to our report. The company's rebuttal is just six pages long and 75 percent – four and a half pages of the six – discuss the forest assets' valuations. With less than 350 words, SCA tries to refute our findings regarding its accounting misstatements without providing any factual evidence. The company didn't support any of its statements with supplementary documents, opinions, or calculations.

In our opinion, it is obvious that SCA can't refute any of our findings and tries to deceive investors and the public. What's worse is that some journalists fall for this, as Dagens Industri reported that Svenska Cellulosa "[...] hits back against the blanks point after point." The accounting-related rebuttal only consisted of baseless counterclaims and sources that refer to one another - like an infinite loop. SCA never addressed that its entire audit committee lacks any experience in accounting or auditing. The same applies to our findings about the material conflict of interest in its nomination committee or the hidden related party transactions.

On the next pages, we will go into detail about how, in our opinion,

- SCA avoids responsibility by asserting that the term 'maturity' doesn't carry the universally accepted meaning in a financial context, specifically referring to a due date. However, in Swedish legal documents, SCA defines maturity as "the date on which the nominal amount is to be repaid."
- we've found that SCA paid cash interest of SEK 363 million in 2022, which is more than double
  the reported total interest expense of SEK 164 million and ultimately, how Svenska Cellulosa
  recognized only SEK 2 million in interest expense (less than 1 percent of the total interest
  paid) in its income statement.
- SCA tries to avoid any discussion about its net debt because the company's net debt includes the employee's pension surplus that the company doesn't have access to. As this concerns the employees, we have written to the trade unions representing SCA employees asking for clarification as to whether Svenska Cellulosa has unrestricted access to use the employees' pension surpluses of SEK 2.2bn at the company's discretion. We believe that all parties are eager for a clear answer.
- SCA references sources that should prove that the commercial paper is included but the sources don't prove anything. The sources reference each other, resulting in an infinite loop of no evidence.

 $<sup>{}^{1}\</sup>underline{\text{https://www.di.se/nyheter/sca-s-vd-slar-tillbaka-oseriost-gymnasiearbete/}}$ 

 $<sup>^2\</sup> https://www.sca.com/en/media/news/2024/clarification-regarding-questions-relating-to-the-valuation-of-forest-assets-harvesting-forest-damage-and-accounting-related-matters/$ 

 $<sup>{}^3\,</sup>https://www.sca.com/en/media/news/2024/clarification-regarding-questions-relating-to-the-valuation-of-forest-assets-harvesting-forest-damage-and-accounting-related-matters/$ 

<sup>4</sup> https://www.di.se/nyheter/efter-dubbelattacken-sca-slar-tillbaka-mot-blankarna-pa-punkt-efter-punkt/

 $<sup>^{5}\</sup> https://www.sca.com/siteassets/investors/reports-and-presentations/other-presentations/sca-pm-clarification.pdf$ 

 $<sup>^{6}\,\</sup>underline{\text{https://ningiresearch.com/2024/01/25/research-report-svenska-cellulosa-ab-sca-sfb-sca-b/2024/01/25/research-report-svenska-cellulosa-ab-sca-sfb-sca-b/2024/01/25/research-report-svenska-cellulosa-ab-sca-sfb-sca-b/2024/01/25/research-report-svenska-cellulosa-ab-sca-sfb-sca-b/2024/01/25/research-report-svenska-cellulosa-ab-sca-sfb-sca-b/2024/01/25/research-report-svenska-cellulosa-ab-sca-sfb-sca-b/2024/01/25/research-report-svenska-cellulosa-ab-sca-sfb-sca-b/2024/01/25/research-report-svenska-cellulosa-ab-sca-sfb-sca-b/2024/01/25/research-report-svenska-cellulosa-ab-sca-sfb-sca-b/2024/01/25/research-report-svenska-cellulosa-ab-sca-sfb-sca-b/2024/01/25/research-report-svenska-cellulosa-ab-sca-sfb-sca-b/2024/01/25/research-report-svenska-cellulosa-ab-sca-sfb-sca-b/2024/01/25/research-report-svenska-cellulosa-ab-sca-b/2024/01/25/research-report-svenska-cellulosa-cellulosa-b/2024/01/25/research-report-svenska-cellulosa-b/2024/01/25/research-report$ 

 $<sup>\</sup>frac{7}{\text{https://www.sca.com/siteassets/investors/reports-and-presentations/other-presentations/sca-pm-clarification.pdf}$ 

 $<sup>{}^{8}\,\</sup>underline{\text{https://ningiresearch.com/2024/01/25/research-report-svenska-cellulosa-ab-sca-sfb-sca-b/}}\\$ 

### **Credit facilities**

In our first response to the company's "Oseriöst gymnasiearbete"-rant, we asked how billions of credit facilities with a reported maturity of less than one year can be included in the non-current accounts. Svenska Cellulosa's CFO Andreas Ewertz now claims that the term 'maturity' in the credit facilities doesn't stand for the credit facilities' maturities. Instead of paraphrasing this nonsense, it's easier to quote it: 10

[...] Maturity refers to maturity on the respective credit facility. This should not be confused with maturity of the amount used under the facility. At the end of 2022, used amounts were loans with final maturities between 2029 and 2031and [sic!] consequently reported in the balance sheet under Non-current financial liabilities as Other non-current loans with maturities of more than 5 years. [...]

Just to sum it up: the CFO of a billion-dollar company says that the term 'maturity' doesn't mean the globally accepted term 'maturity' when it comes to SCA's credit lines. That's contrary to Swedish legal documents, in which SCA has defined the term 'maturity' as "the date on which the nominal amount is to be repaid." <sup>11</sup>

In our opinion, it is worrying that SCA suddenly is claiming that a term that is defined in the company's legal documents and is generally interpreted in the same way in finance means something else.<sup>12</sup>

In our first response published January 26, 2023, we encouraged SCA to be transparent and to publish the last three years' debt issuance and repayment, credit facilities, and all counterparties involved. In its rebuttal, Svenska Cellulosa didn't do so even though Ulf Larsson touted in an interview with Dagens Industri that "[...] It's the easiest thing to deal with." <sup>14 15</sup> In the end, Svenska Cellulosa didn't support any of its statements with evidence. <sup>16</sup>

Without our report, the public would have never caught up on SCA's shenanigans. In our opinion, Svenska Cellulosa did its best to hide the unconsolidated and rising consolidated debt levels by capitalizing 99% of its reported interest expense related to its debt (see Figure 1).<sup>17</sup> <sup>18</sup> That way, investors won't notice that the interest expense relative to the reported debt levels doesn't seem reasonable.

Interest expense - borrowing, in SEKm	2022	2021	2020	2019
Interest expense - borrowing	2	46	75	66
Interest expense - capitalized	162	57	21	1
Total interest expense -borrowing	164	103	96	67
Capitalized interest expense, in %	99%	55%	22%	1%

Figure 1 Capitalized interest expense, source: NINGI Research, company data

Now, that we have your attention, may we ask you a question: if 99% of its interest expense was capitalized, how much cash interest did SCA pay in 2022?

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> https://ningiresearch.com/2024/01/26/our-response-to-svenska-cellulosa-ab-sca-sfb-sca-b/

 $<sup>\</sup>frac{10}{\rm https://www.sca.com/siteassets/investors/reports-and-presentations/other-presentations/sca-pm-clarification.pdf}$ 

 $<sup>^{11}\,\</sup>underline{\text{https://www.sca.com/siteassets/investors/debt/debt-program/foretagscertifikatsprogram-allmanna-villkor-2017-12-08.pdf}, \textbf{pg. 4}$ 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> https://www.sca.com/siteassets/investors/debt/debt-program/foretagscertifikatsprogram-allmanna-villkor-2017-12-08.pdf, pg. 4

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> https://ningiresearch.com/2024/01/26/our-response-to-svenska-cellulosa-ab-sca-sfb-sca-b/

https://www.sca.com/siteassets/investors/reports-and-presentations/other-presentations/sca-pm-clarification.pdf, pg. 6

<sup>15</sup> https://www.di.se/nyheter/sca-s-vd-slar-tillbaka-oseriost-gymnasiearbete/

 $<sup>^{16}\,\</sup>underline{\text{https://www.sca.com/siteassets/investors/reports-and-presentations/other-presentations/sca-pm-clarification.pdf,}\,\text{pg.}\,6$ 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>17</sup> https://www.sca.com/siteassets/investors/reports-and-presentations/annual-reports/2022/sca-annual-report-2022.pdf, pg. 122

<sup>18</sup> https://www.sca.com/siteassets/investors/reports-and-presentations/annual-reports/2020/annual-report-2020.pdf, pg. 118

To save you some time, we tried answering that question and the result is: that SCA doesn't disclose the consolidated cash interest paid in its financial statements.<sup>19</sup> <sup>20</sup> In our opinion, it is common to find the cash interest paid in a company's consolidated statement of cash flow.

However, the credit analysts at S&P disclosed Svenska Cellulosa's cash interest paid: SEK 363 million (see Figure 2).<sup>21</sup>

Period ending	Dec-31-2017	Dec-31-2018	Dec-31-2019	Dec-31-2020	Dec-31-2021	Dec-31-2022
Reporting period	2017a	2018a	2019a	2020a	2021a	2022a
Display currency (mil.)	SEK	SEK	SEK	SEK	SEK	SEK
Revenues	16,664	18,755	19,591	18,410	18,822	20,794
EBITDA	3,662	4,800	4,369	3,214	7,260	8,398
Funds from operations (FFO)	3,365	4,421	3,614	3,046	6,543	7,176
© S&P Global Ratings. All rights reserve	d. No reprint or dissemina	ition without S&P Glo	bal Ratings' permiss	ion. See Terms of		June 8, 2023
© S&P Global Ratings. All rights reserve	d. No reprint or dissemina	ition without S&P Glo	obal Ratings' permiss	ion. See Terms of		June 8, 2023
S&P GLOBAL RATINGS360  © S&P Global Ratings. All rights reserve Use/Disclaimer on the last page.  Svenska Cellulosa Aktiebolaget S	· 	ttion without S&P Glo	obal Ratings' permiss	ion. See Terms of		June 8, 2023
© S&P Global Ratings. All rights reserve Use/Disclaimer on the last page.	CA (publ)		obal Ratings' permiss	ion. See Terms of		June 8, 2023
© S&P Global Ratings. All rights reserve Use/Disclaimer on the last page.  Svenska Cellulosa Aktiebolaget S	CA (publ)		obal Ratings' permiss	ion. See Terms of	128	June 8, 2023

Figure 2 Cash interest paid according to S&P credit report from June 2023, source: S&P Global Ratings

That's more than SEK 200 million more than SCA reported as interest expense and 180 times more than the company recognized in its income statement.<sup>22 23</sup>

Why does a company capitalize most of its interest expense and secretly pay more than 180 times the recognized interest? How does that make any sense? And please spare us with an excuse like "The phrase 'cash interest paid' doesn't mean cash interest paid".

#### **Net debt**

Next to the redefinition of the term 'maturity,' what's remarkable is SCA's response related to the company's understated net debt (see Figure 3).<sup>24</sup> It's short. Too short, in our opinion. We believe SCA tries to avoid any further discussion of its net debt.

### **Net debt**

Net debt is calculated in accordance with the net debt definition stated in SCA's 2022 Annual and Sustainability Report. How the calculation is made and what it includes is shown in SCA's 2022 Annual and Sustainability Report, page 94.

Figure 3 Extract from SCA's rebuttal, source: company filings

 $<sup>^{19}\,\</sup>underline{\text{https://www.sca.com/siteassets/investors/reports-and-presentations/annual-reports/2022/sca-annual-report-2022.pdf}$ 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>20</sup> The company reported "Interest paid to creditors" in its "Economic value creation" section until 2021, but that is actually not the interest paid but the line item 'Financial income and expenses.'

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>21</sup> https://www.sca.com/siteassets/investors/debt/credit-rating/sp-sca-researchupdate-8-june-2023.pdf, pg. 15

https://www.sca.com/siteassets/investors/debt/credit-rating/sp-sca-researchupdate-8-june-2023.pdf, pg. 15

<sup>23</sup> https://www.sca.com/siteassets/investors/reports-and-presentations/annual-reports/2022/sca-annual-report-2022.pdf, pg. 122

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>24</sup> https://www.sca.com/siteassets/investors/reports-and-presentations/other-presentations/sca-pm-clarification.pdf, pg. 6

In our past publications, we highlighted that SCA is understating its net debt by not consolidating billions of debt, so we will focus on the company's SEK 2.2 billion pension surplus that is included in the company's net debt.<sup>25</sup> <sup>26</sup> As a reminder, the common definition of net debt is as follows:

```
Net \ debt = (long \ term \ debt + short \ term \ debt) - cash \& cash \ equivalents
```

But SCA deviates from that common practice. Next to deducting non-current and current financial assets from its gross debt, the most significant line item being included in the calculation is SCA's pension surplus:<sup>27</sup>

```
Net debt = (long term debt + short term debt) - (cash & cash equivalents)
- (non current financial assets) - (current financial assets)
- (surplus in funded pension plans)
```

Examining the net debt calculation closer is important because SCA has reported a declining cash balance. In numbers, cash declined by 60 percent since 2020.<sup>28</sup> <sup>29</sup> However, a surging pension surplus offset the falling cash balance, resulting in an understated net debt (see Figure 4). But no investor appears to realize that.

Net debt, in SEKm	2023	2022	2021	2020
Surplus in funded pension plans	2,219	1,689	1,564	1,329
Non-current financial assets	148	165	80	81
Current financial assets	91	106	114	-
Cash and cash equivalents	502	836	1,056	1,273
Financial assets	2,960	2,796	2,814	2,683
Non-current financial liabilities	11,498	10,751	9,083	8,488
Provisions for pensions	326	245	427	530
Current financial liabilities	1,902	1,789	1,056	1,336
Financial liabilities	13,726	12,785	10,566	10,354
Reported net debt	10,766	9,989	7,752	7,671
True net debt	12,898	11,704	9,083	8,551

Figure 4 Net debt calculation, source: NINGI Research, company data

We believe a key issue is that most investors and data providers don't calculate the net debt metric themselves but take SCA's figures from the company's reports. They do so because the reports are audited and trust that a third party has verified that the financial statements give a fair presentation. However, fair presentation requires that key figures such as net debt are calculated based on the globally recognized method. This is not the case with the SCA figures.

We have never seen any net debt calculation that included a pension surplus. Because, commonly, a company doesn't have access to its employee's pensions surplus. If SCA doesn't have access to it as well, why did the company include it?

In our opinion, Svenska Cellulosa tries to avoid the discussion about the pension surplus in its net debt by pointing to the metric's definition in its 2022 annual report as a source.<sup>30</sup> However, the annual report doesn't provide any clarification or legitimate reason as to why SCA includes the pension surplus in its net debt.<sup>31</sup> Likewise, with other issues, it's an attempt to push back on the raised concerns and findings without giving a definitive answer.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>25</sup> https://ningiresearch.com/2024/01/25/research-report-svenska-cellulosa-ab-sca-sfb-sca-b/

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>26</sup> https://ningiresearch.com/2024/01/26/our-response-to-svenska-cellulosa-ab-sca-sfb-sca-b/

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>27</sup> https://www.sca.com/siteassets/investors/reports-and-presentations/annual-reports/2022/sca-annual-report-2022.pdf, pg. 94

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>28</sup> https://www.sca.com/siteassets/investors/reports-and-presentations/annual-reports/2021/annual-report-2021.pdf, pg. 83

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>29</sup> https://www.sca.com/siteassets/investors/reports-and-presentations/annual-reports/2022/sca-annual-report-2022.pdf, pg. 89

https://www.sca.com/siteassets/investors/reports-and-presentations/other-presentations/sca-pm-clarification.pdf, pg. 6
 https://www.sca.com/siteassets/investors/reports-and-presentations/other-presentations/sca-pm-clarification.pdf, pg. 6

To get a proper answer about the pension surplus, we asked the person sitting on the opposite of that trade: the employees. More specifically, last week we sent a letter to the trade unions and employee representatives sitting on SCA's board asking for clarification (see Annex). Does SCA have unrestricted access to the pension surplus to use it at the company's own discretion: for short-term financing of its operations, for dividends or even to repay debt?

We sent the letter in English and attached a Swedish translation so the union's representatives could grasp the topic. In our opinion, it's important to make a factual and written statement to the employees and the investors. Both sides are interested in a clarification.

### **Commercial paper**

Regarding the commercial paper, Svenska Cellulosa didn't try to explain anything and just referenced its 2022 annual report as well as the company's "Funding"-page on its IR website.<sup>32</sup> The respective documents point to each other as sources, resulting in an infinite loop of no real evidence. We could now argue two pages why the commercial paper is not included, with supplementary documents but it is obvious that SCA's claims are baseless and any further discussion would be a tit-for-tat.

We stand by our findings and for all information herein, we are short Svenska Cellulosa AB.

-

 $<sup>^{32}\,\</sup>underline{\text{https://www.sca.com/siteassets/investors/reports-and-presentations/other-presentations/sca-pm-clarification.pdf},\,\mathsf{pg.}\,6$ 



Landsorganisationen i Sverige
Att: Susanna Gideonsson

Barnhusgatan 18 105 53 Stockholm

By email: susanna.gideonsson@lo.se

GS Facket för skogs-, trä- och grafisk bransch

Att: Per-Olof Sjöö Box 1152

111 81 Stockholm

By email: per-olof.sjoo@gsfacket.se

Unionen

Att: Peter Hellberg Olof Palmes gata 17 111 22 Stockholm

By email: forbundsordforande@unionen.se

Svenska pappersindustriarbetareförbundet

**Att: Pontus Georgsson** 

Box 1127

111 81 Stockholm

By email: <a href="mailto:pontus.georgsson@pappers.se">pontus.georgsson@pappers.se</a>

Dear Chairpersons,

#### Inquiry Regarding Svenska Cellulosa AB's Use of Employee's Pensions

We are a forensic research firm specializing in equity research since 2022. As an investigative research company, we focus on analyzing complex problems and making them understandable to the public. Our past work has resulted in being ranked in the top 5 leading research firms worldwide by Diligent Market Intelligence two years in a row.

We are writing to you regarding our analysis of Svenska Cellulosa AB (SCA). In our examination of SCA, we have come across various discrepancies.

A focal point of our analysis revolved around the company's "Net Debt." Net Debt is a metric widely used by capital market participants and investors to assess a company's indebtedness. It is one of the key indicators used to gauge a company's leverage. The calculation is as follows:

Net debt = (Long-term debt + Short-term debt) - Cash & cash equivalents

However, contrary to best practice, SCA has also deducted the pension surplus from the debt in their calculation:<sup>1</sup>

Net debt = (Long-term debt + Short-term debt) - Cash & cash equivalents - Pension Surplus

The inclusion of the Pension Surplus in SCA's net debt calculation implies that the company has unrestricted access to it. Specifically, it means that SCA can use the Pension Surplus of SEK 2.2 billion at the company's own discretion: for short-term financing of its operations, for dividends or even to repay debt.

This is relevant because, since 2020, SCA's cash reserves have decreased from SEK 1,273 million to SEK 502 million, representing a 60 percent decline. Similarly, the Operating Cash Flow (OCF) decreased by 47 percent within the last year, as the net profits reported by SCA are merely accounting gains due to the revaluation of their forest lands. SCA is headed for an unfunded cash crunch.

OCF is an important metric used by the investing public to assess a company's liquidity situation and the upkeep of its operations. Therefore, OCF, alongside Net debt, is prominently placed on the first

 $<sup>{}^{1}\,\</sup>underline{\text{https://www.sca.com/siteassets/investors/reports-and-presentations/annual-reports/2022/sca-annual-report-2022.pdf,}\,\mathbf{pg.}\,\,\mathbf{94}$ 

page of every interim report. With declining cash flows and less cash on hand, investors focus on the pension surplus as SCA's most attractive source for funding.

However, we have years of experience in forensic balance sheet analysis and have never seen pensions being included in the net debt calculation before. SCA is trying to suppress discussions about its net debt methodology by referring to definitions within its 2022 annual report as its "clarification" of the matter. In our opinion, Svenska Cellulosa AB is not interested in clarifying anything.

We are reaching out to you because we believe that the unions play a crucial role in representing the interests of Svenska Cellulosa AB employees. Given the significant financial irregularities we have identified in our analysis of the company, we would like to ask for clarification from you.

- 1. Have the trade unions contractually agreed that Svenska Cellulosa AB can use the employees' pensions as an unrestricted interest-free financing alternative?
- 2. Does Svenska Cellulosa AB have a contractual right allowing the company unrestricted access to the Pension Surplus?
- 3. Are the union representatives on the SCA board informed about the company's financial situation and the precise calculation of Net Debt? If so, how have they reacted to this information?
- 4. What measures do the unions plan to take to protect the interests of employees, particularly regarding the use of the Pension Surplus and its impact on the liquidity and financial stability of SCA?

We ask for a prompt response to these questions in order to clarify how SCA disposes of pension surpluses and to ensure that the interests of employees are adequately represented.

praces and to ensure that the inter-		
Thank you for your attention and supp	pport.	

Sincerely,

NINGI Research

cc. Maria Jonsson, Employee representative Roger Boström, Employee representative Niclas Andersson, Employee representative maria.jonsson@sca.com roger.bostrom@sca.com niclas.andersson@sca.com